

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,699	10/26/2001	Richard B. Streeter	VIA-10	6996
75	90 11/14/2003	EXAM	INER	
Pandiscio & Pa		THISSELL, JEREMY		
470 Totten Pond Road Waltham, MA 02451-1914			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
		DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Commence	10/014,699	STREETER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeremy T. Thissell	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	n tne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	. ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. NNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>22 September 2003</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-38 is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		sapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in Ap	pplication No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	priving whom we winder,	•• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of group I, claims 1-27 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 28-38 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 8-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sugarbaker (US 5,336,171).

Sugarbaker teaches all the claimed subject matter, including a removable lid, ability to resize (expandable joint), fluid/CO2 inflow ports, access to surgical equipment (37/38), a suturing cuff (bottom flange), and methods of using the device.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugarbaker in view of Fischer (US 5,316,541).

Sugarbaker teaches all the claimed subject matter except for having a flexible main body. Fischer teaches such a configuration. It would have been obvious to provide Sugarbaker with some flexibility so as to allow flexible fitment to the contours of the body. Applicant's own specification at page 10, indicates that "Base 15 is preferably formed out of a flexible, clear plastic material, e.g., urethane." Although Fischer does not specifically teach that the flexible material is urethane as claimed in claim 7, Fischer teaches a clear, flexible plastic, and urethane is a widely known material used for its properties as being flexible and clear (a point mentioned by applicant's specification). As such, it would have been an obvious choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,669

Art Unit: 3763

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy T. Thissell whose telephone number is (703) 305-5261. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-7:00 Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached at (703) 308-3552. The fax phone numbers for all fax communications is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

October 11, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 4